

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

ess: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/698,250	10/31/2003	Stacey I. Zones	T-5969	2958		
34014 7.	590 11/15/2006	EXAMINER				
	EXACO CORPORAT	NGUYEN, TAM M				
P.O. BOX 6006	6					
SAN RAMON	, CA 94583-0806	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			1764			
	•	DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application I	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			10/698,250		ZONES ET AL.			
			Examiner		Art Unit			
		•	Tam M. Nguy	en	1764			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appea	ars on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD REPORTS IN LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum of the reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136( munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, ca	(a). In no event, apply and will exause the application	COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be timpire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed he mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 24 Aug	nust 2006					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 August 2006</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	<del>/ _</del>							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
· _		application						
	<ul> <li>Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,8 and 18-37</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,7 and 9-17</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or e	election requ	irement.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		oted or b)	objected to by the E	xaminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any obje	•	•	-				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction	n is required i	f the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exar	miner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P7	ΓΟ-152.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			v				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	for foreign p	riority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
,	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents l	have been re	eceived.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority				on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority	y documents	s have been receive	d in this National	Stage		
	application from the Internation	onal Bureau (	PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of	f the certified	I copies not receive	d.			
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4)	☐ Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		51	Paper No(s)/Mail Da  Notice of Informal P				
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/6/04.							

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- 1. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, and 9-17, drawn to a composition of a zeolite, classified in class 502, subclass 60+.
- II. Claims 5 and 8 drawn to a method of preparing a catalyst, classified in class 502, subclass 104+.
- III. Claims 18-37, drawn to a dewaxing process, classified in class 208, subclass 24+.

  The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as an alkylation process.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as a hydrogenation process or a cracking process.

Art Unit: 1764

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different effects because one process results in dewaxing of a hydrocarbon feed and the other process results in production of a catalyst.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

Application/Control Number: 10/698,250 Page 4

Art Unit: 1764

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

# Claim Objections

Claim 6 is objected to because the expression "a dewaxing catalyst prepared by the method of claim 5.". Claim 5 is a non-elective claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 7 is objective to because the expression "the method of claim 4". Claim 4 is a product-claim not a "method claim". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/698,250

Art Unit: 1764

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-4, 6, 7, and 9-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Zones et al. (US 5,397,454).

Zones discloses a crystalline zeolite SSZ-32 composition which has a mole ratio of silicon oxide to aluminum oxide in the range of 20 to less than 40 and has the X-ray diffraction lines as claimed. Zones also discloses that the composition has a very small crystal size and

Art Unit: 1764

comprises a Group VIII metal. This product appears to be the same as the product as claimed. It may, however, be an obvious variant of the claimed product owing to slight differences in the size of the product in the range of 200-400A. (See col. 1, lines 65 through col. 2, line 9, col. 2, lines 26-62; col. 3, lines 53-60; col. 5, lines 7-10).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tam M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TN

Tam M. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 1764

Zan